SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FAMILY COURT

Domestic Relations Branch

	DDD	
PRINT PLAINTIFF'S NAME	DRB	
PLAINTIFF,		
v.		
PRINT DEFENDANT'S NAME		
DEFENDANT.		
	IMENT B ATION FOR CUSTODY	
I,, am	the PLAINTIFF in this case.	
PRINT YOUR NAME	DEFENDANT	
1. The child(ren) in this case:		
Child's Full Name	Child's Date of Birth	Gender
2. My relationship to the child(ren) in this case	se: [CHECK ONE]	
☐ I am the biological or adoptive parent	t.	
I am the caretaker. My relationship to (e.g. grandparent, brother, aunt, etc.).	the child(ren) is:	
Other:		

e other part	ty's relationship to the child(ren) in this case: [Check One]
☐ The oth	ner party is the biological or adoptive parent.
_	ner party is the caretaker. His/Her relationship to the child(ren) is: (e.g. grandparent, brother, aunt, etc.).
Other:	·
is Court has	s the authority to decide issues of child custody because: [CHECK ONE]
child(ren)	State . The District of Columbia is the child(ren)'s "home state" because the currently live(s) in the District of Columbia <i>AND</i> has/have lived in the District ia for at least six months immediately before filing this Complaint.
\overline{BUT} the D away from	State . The child(ren) do(es) not currently live in the District of Columbia, istrict of Columbia was the "home state" <i>AND</i> the child(ren) has/have been the District of Columbia for less than six months before the filing of this <i>AND</i> a parent or a person acting as a parent continues to live in the District of
to exercise appropriate have a sign evidence a	cant Connections. There is no "home state" or the "home state" has declined jurisdiction on the grounds that the District of Columbia is the more e forum <i>AND</i> the child(ren) and at least one parent or person acting as a parent difficant connection with the District of Columbia <i>AND</i> there is substantial vailable in the District of Columbia concerning the child(ren)'s care, training and personal relationships.
their jurisd	Appropriate Court . All courts with jurisdiction have declined to exercise iction in favor of the District of Columbia because this is the more appropriate etermine custody of the child(ren).
No Oth child(ren).	ner Court. There is no other court with jurisdiction to determine custody of the
state" BUT has/have because the	Prary Emergency Jurisdiction . The District of Columbia is not the "home the child(ren) is/are present in the District of Columbia <i>AND</i> the child(ren) een abandoned <i>OR</i> it is necessary in an emergency to protect the child(ren) e child(ren), or a sibling or parent of the child(ren), is/are subjected to or with mistreatment or abuse.

5. The minor child(ren) currently live(s) at the following address(es) with the following	ng
peron(s): [USE ONLY ONE ENTRY FOR MULTIPLE CHILDREN LIVING AT THE SAME ADDRESS]	

Child(ren)'s Name(s)	Current Address	Since What Date	Child(ren) Live(s) With (names)

6. Over the last five years, the child(ren) has/have lived in the following places, with the following persons: [Use Only One Entry for Multiple Children Who Previously Lived at The Same Address]

Child(ren)'s Name(s)	Previous Address	During What Dates	Child(ren) Lived With (name and current address)

7. The following people, who are not parties to this case, have physical custody of, or claim rights of legal or physical custody of, or visitation with the child(ren):

Name(s)	Current Address(es)		

8. I st	tate the following abo	out other cases in	nvolving the child(r	ren): [CHECK ONE]
	There are no other ca		-	itation with, the child(ren), and
	The following cases concern custody of, or visitation with, the child(ren), or could affect this proceeding (e.g. divorce, child support, domestic violence, neglect, etc.)			
	COURT	CASE NO.	CASE TYPE	DATE OF DETERMINATION
	I was I was no coceeding concerning	- •		of any kind in any other e child(ren).
and m	_	ne well-being of t	he minor child(ren),	astody of the minor child(ren) and I believe that it is in the
	joint legal custoo	ly	sole lega	l custody
child(•	nsibility and con	trol of the minor chil	sical custody of the minor Id(ren), and I believe that it is in ONE]
	joint physical cu	stody	sole phys	sical custody
		☐ visi	tation	
	he presumption in fa	vor of joint cust	ody does not apply	in this case because:
	There has been of There has been of There has been p	hild neglect. parental kidnappin AND/OR	ng.	
	☐ Joint custody is i	not in the best int	erest of the child(ren	1).

13. I state the following about visitation: [Checi	K ALL THAT APPLY]
☐ We can work out a visitation schedule☐ We need a specific schedule of visitation☐ Any visitation should be supervised be	on.
☐ The other party should not receive any	visitation because
14. I state the following about child support: [0] I am I am not seeking child support:	CHECK ONE] ort on behalf of the child(ren).
	Respectfully Submitted,
	SIGN YOUR NAME